


Faculty Review of Open eTextbooks

The <u>California Open Educational Resources Council</u> has designed and implemented a faculty review process of the free and open etextbooks showcased within the California Open Online Library for Education (<u>www.cool4ed.org</u>). Faculty from the California Community Colleges, the California State University, and the University of California were invited to review the selected free and open etextbooks using a rubric. Faculty received a stipend for their efforts and funding was provided by the State of California, the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation, and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.


Textbook Name: A First Course in Linear Algebra



License: *A First Course in Linear Algebra* by Robert A. Beezer is licensed under a <u>GNU Free Documentation License</u> (GFDL)

Find it: eTextbook Website

Textbook Authors: Robert A. Beezer



Date Reviewed:

May 2016

California OER Council eTextbook Evaluation Rubric

CA Course ID: MATH 250

| Subject Matter (30 possible points) | N/A (0 pts) | Very Weak (1pt) | Limited (2 pts) | Adequate (3pts) | Strong (4 pts) | Superior (5 pts) |
|---|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| b the content accurate, error-free, and unbiased? | | | | | X | |
| Does the text adequately cover the designated course with a sufficient degree of depth and scope? | | | | | х | |
| Does the textbook use sufficient and relevant examples to present its subject matter? | | | | | х | |
| Does the textbook use a clear, consistent terminology to present its subject matter? | | | | | х | |

| Does the textbook reflect current knowledge of the subject matter? | x | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Does the textbook present its subject matter in a culturally sensitive manner? (e.g. Is the textbook free of offensive and insensitive examples? Does it include examples that are inclusive of a variety of races, ethnicities, and backgrounds?) | x | | | |

Total Points: 16 out of 30

Please provide comments on any aspect of the subject matter of this textbook:

• I feel that the use of a large number of "archetypes" overly complicates the subject matter.

| Instructional Design (35 possible points) | N/A (0 pts) | Very Weak (1pt) | Limited (2 pts) | Adequate (3pts) | Strong (4 pts) | Superior (5 pts) |
|---|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Does the textbook present its subject materials at appropriate reading levels for undergrad use? | | | | | х | |
| Does the textbook reflect a consideration of different learning styles? (e.g. visual, textual?) | | x | | | | |
| Does the textbook present explicit learning outcomes aligned with the course and curriculum? | | | x | | | |
| Is a coherent organization of the textbook evident to the reader/student? | | | | х | | |
| Does the textbook reflect best practices in the instruction of the designated course? | | х | | | | |
| Does the textbook contain sufficient effective ancillary materials? (e.g. test banks, individual and/or group activities or exercises, pedagogical apparatus, etc.) | x | | | | | |
| Is the textbook searchable? | | | | | | Х |

Total Points: 16 out of 35

Please provide comments on any aspect of the instructional design of this textbook:

• The layout is extremely dry. Although the book is mathematically sound, I found it to be quite uninviting. It seems to be written for a particularly strong student; the average student would find it difficult.

| Editorial Aspects (25 possible points) | N/A (0 pts) | Very Weak (1pt) | Limited (2 pts) | Adequate (3pts) | Strong (4 pts) | Superior (5 pts) |
|---|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Is the language of the textbook free of grammatical, spelling, usage, and typographical errors? | | | | | х | |
| Is the textbook written in a clear, engaging style? | | х | | | | |
| Does the textbook adhere to effective principles of design? (e.g. are pages latid0out and organized to be clear and visually engaging and effective? Are colors, font, and typography consistent and unified?) | | х | | | | |
| Does the textbook include conventional editorial features? (e.g. a table of contents, glossary, citations and further references) | | | | | х | |
| How effective are multimedia elements of the textbook? (e.g. graphics, animations, audio) | х | | | | | |
| | | | | Тс | otal Points: | 10 out of 25 |

Please provide comments on any editorial aspect of this textbook:

• This book feels like the type of textbook used prior to 1970. It is monochrome and without illustrations. It almost looks like a paper in a refereed journal.

| Usability (25 possible points) | N/A (0 pts) | Very Weak | Limited | Adequate | Strong | Superior |
|--|----------------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|
| | | (1pt) | (2 pts) | (3pts) | (4 pts) | (5 pts) |
| Is the textbook compatible with standard and commonly | | | | | | |
| available hardware/software in college/university campus | | | | | х | |
| student computer labs? | | | | | | |
| Is the textbook accessible in a variety of different | | | | | v | |
| electronic formats? (e.gtxt, .pdf, .epub, etc.) | | | | | X | |
| Can the textbook be printed easily? | | | | | Х | |
| Does the user interface implicitly inform the reader how | | | | | v | |
| to interact with and navigate the textbook? | | | | | X | |
| How easily can the textbook be annotated by students | | | | | х | |
| and instructors? | | | | | ^ | |

Please provide comments on any aspect of access concerning this textbook:

| Overall Ratings | | | | | | |
|--|--------|--------------|-------------|----------|-----------------|------------------|
| | Not at | Very Weak | Limited | Adequate | Strong | Superior |
| | all (O | (1 pt) | (2 pts) | (3 pts) | (4 pts) | (5 pts) |
| | pts) | | | | | |
| What is your overall impression of the | | | х | | | |
| textbook? | | | ~ | | | |
| | Not at | Strong | Limited | | | Enthusiastically |
| | all (0 | reservations | willingness | Willing | Strongly | willing |
| | pts) | (1 pt) | (2 pts) | (3 pts) | willing (4 pts) | (5 pts) |
| How willing would you be to adopt this book? | х | | | | | |

Total Points: 2 out of 10

Overall Comments

If you were to recommend this textbook to colleagues, what merits of the textbook would you highlight?

• Extremely rigorous.

What areas of this textbook require improvement in order for it to be used in your courses?

• Readability.

We invite you to add your feedback on the textbook or the review to the <u>textbook site in MERLOT</u> (Please <u>register</u> in MERLOT to post your feedback.)



For questions or more information, contact the <u>CA Open Educational Resources Council</u>.



This review is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.